	1	TIMOTHY P. JOHNSON (BAR No. 66333)									
	2	LAW OFFICES OF TIMOTHY P. JOHNSO 17821 E. 17 th Street, Suite 290	ON CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY								
	3	Tustin, California 92780									
	4	TELEPHONE: (714) 832-1170 FACSIMILE: (714) 832-1179									
	5	E-MAIL: tjohnson@johnson-chambers.com									
	6	Attorneys for Defendant PINNACLE CREDIT PINNACLE CREDIT SERVICES, INC.	Γ SERVICES, LLC, erroneously sued and served as								
	7	FINNACLE CREDIT SERVICES, INC.									
	8	UNITED STATI	ES DISTRICT COURT								
	9	NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA								
	10	ROBERT MICHAEL WILLIAMS,	Case No. C-07-5956 CRB								
NOS	11		Cuse 110. C 07 3730 CRB								
s of Timothy P. Johnson	12	Plaintiff,	ANSWER OF DEFENDANT PINNACLE								
	13	VS.	CREDIT SERVICES, LLC TO COMPLAIN								
	14	TRANSUNION, LLC, EXPERIAN									
	15	INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC.;, EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES,									
	16	LLC, AMERICAN EXPRESS TRAVEL									
LAW OFFICES	17	RELATED SERVICES, INC.; DISCOVER FINANCIAL SERVICES; PINNACLE									
Ö ≽		CREDIT SERVICES, INC.; ASSET ACCEPTANCE, LLC; and NATIONAL									
ΓA	18	CREDIT ADJUSTERS, LLC.									
	19	Defendants.									
	20	- Berendants.									
	21	Defendant, PINNACLE CREDIT SERVICES, LLC, for itself and for no other									
	22	defendants, answers as follows:									
	23		IONI ANID VIENILIE								
	24	JURISDICI	FION AND VENUE								
	25	1. Answering paragraph 1 of	the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or								
	26	information to form a belief as to the truth o	of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis								
	27	denies each and every allegation therein.	every allegation therein.								
	28										
	40										
		G N G 07 5054 GPP	-1-								
		Case No. C-07-5956 CRB	ANSWER								

Case 3:07-cv-05956-CRB Document 47 Filed 06/06/2008 Page 1 of 12

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

23

24

25

26

27

28

DESCRIPTION OF THE CASE

- 2. Answering paragraph 2 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 3. Answering paragraph 3 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 4. Answering paragraph 4 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 5. Answering paragraph 5 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.

PARTIES

- 6. Answering paragraph 6 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 7. Answering paragraph 7 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 8. Answering paragraph 8 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 9. Answering paragraph 9 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.

	10. Answe	ering par	agraph	10 o	f the	Complaint,	defendant	is with	out k	nov	vledg	ge o
information to	form a be	elief as t	to the tr	ruth (of the	allegation	contained	therein,	and	on t	hat l	basis
denies each an	d every alle	egation t	herein.									

- 11. Answering paragraph 11 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 12. Answering paragraph 12 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 13. Answering paragraph 13 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 14. Answering paragraph 14 of the complaint, defendant admits that it is a limited liability company domiciled in Minnesota. Defendant denies the remaining allegations.
- 15. Answering paragraph 15 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.

FIRST CLAIM:

The CRA Defendants' Violation of 15 USC §1681i

- 16. Answering paragraph 16 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 17. Answering paragraph 17 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
 - 18. Answering paragraph 18 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

information	to	form	a	belief	as	to	the	truth	of	the	allegation	contained	therein,	and	on	that	basis
denies each	anc	l ever	y a	allegati	on	the	erein	ı.									

- 19. Answering paragraph 19 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 20. Answering paragraph 20 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 21. Answering paragraph 21 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 22. Answering paragraph 22 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 23. Answering paragraph 23 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 24. Answering paragraph 24 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 25. Answering paragraph 25 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.

26

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 ///

///

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

25

26

27

28

SECOND CLAIM

Violations by AMEX, Discover, Asset Acceptance, Pinnacle & National Credit Of 15 USC §1681s-2(b)

26. Answering paragraph 26 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.

- 27. Answering paragraph 27 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 28. Answering paragraph 28 of the complaint, deny as to PINNACLE. As to the remaining allegations, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies the remainder of each and every allegation therein.
- 29. Answering paragraph 29 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 30. Answering paragraph 30 of the complaint, deny as to PINNACLE. As to the remaining allegations, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies the remainder of each and every allegation therein.
- 31. Answering paragraph 31 of the complaint, deny as to PINNACLE. As to the remaining allegations, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies the remainder of each and every allegation therein.
- 32. Answering paragraph 32 of the complaint, deny as to PINNACLE. As to the remaining allegations, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies the remainder of each and every allegation therein.

- 33. Answering paragraph 33 of the complaint, deny as to PINNACLE. As to the remaining allegations, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies the remainder of each and every allegation therein.
- 34. Answering paragraph 34 of the complaint, deny as to PINNACLE. As to the remaining allegations, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies the remainder of each and every allegation therein.
- 35. Answering paragraph 35 of the complaint, deny as to PINNACLE. As to the remaining allegations, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies the remainder of each and every allegation therein.

THIRD CLAIM

Violations by Asset Acceptance, Pinnacle & National Credit of 15 USC §1692 se seq.

- 36. Answering paragraph 36 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 37. Answering paragraph 37 of the complaint, PINNACLE denies that it is in the business of collecting debt in the State of California. Defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 38. Answering paragraph 38 of the complaint, PINNACLE denies that it is a debt collector. Defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the

10

14

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

2627

28

remaining allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.

- 39. Answering paragraph 39 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 40. Answering paragraph 40 of the complaint, deny as to PINNACLE. As to the remaining allegations, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies the remainder of each and every allegation therein.
- 41. Answering paragraph 41 of the complaint, deny as to PINNACLE. As to the remaining allegations, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies the remainder of each and every allegation therein.
- 42. Answering paragraph 42 of the complaint, deny as to PINNACLE. As to the remaining allegations, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies the remainder of each and every allegation therein.

FOURTH CLAIM

Asset Acceptance's Violation of the California's Identity Theft Statute, California Civil Code §§1789.92 et seq.

- 43. Answering paragraph 43 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 44. Answering paragraph 44 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
 - 45. Answering paragraph 45 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

information	to	form	a	belief	as	to	the	truth	of	the	allegation	contained	therein,	and	on	that	basi
denies each	ano	d ever	v a	allegati	ion	the	erein	١.									

- 46. Answering paragraph 46 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 47. Answering paragraph 47 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.
- 48. Answering paragraph 48 of the Complaint, defendant is without knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation contained therein, and on that basis denies each and every allegation therein.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State Cause of Action)

The complaint and each cause of action contained therein fails to state facts sufficient to constitute causes of action as to this answering defendant.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statute of Limitations)

The complaint and each cause of action contained therein is barred by the applicable statute of limitations.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Mitigate)

At all times material herein, plaintiff failed and neglected to mitigate his damages, so as to reduce and/or diminish his claim.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Laches)

This answering defendant is informed and believes and on such basis alleges that

1

2

1011

12

9

13 14

15 16

> 18 19

17

20 21

22

2324

25

27

26

28

plaintiff is guilty of laches and that each and every cause of action within the complaint should fail because plaintiff has inexcusably and unreasonably delayed the commencement of his action against this defendant and is estopped from asserting his actions as a result thereof.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Estoppel)

This answering defendant is informed and believes that the complaint and each and every cause of action contained therein fails because of this answering defendant's reasonable reliance on the acts or omissions of plaintiff, whereby the plaintiff is now estopped from asserting the claims in his complaint against this answering defendant.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Unclean Hands)

Plaintiff's claims against this answering defendant are expressly barred as a result of plaintiff's unclean hands.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Comparative Fault of Third Parties)

If plaintiff suffered or sustained any damage or injury, either as alleged in the complaint, or at all, the same was directly and proximately contributed to by the negligence, recklessness, carelessness, fault, and unlawful conduct of other parties or entities, whether or not parties to this action, and damages of plaintiff, if any, shall be reduced in proportion to the amount of negligence and/or fault attributable to such other persons or entities, whether or not parties to this action.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Comparative Fault)

If plaintiff suffered or sustained any damage or injury, either as alleged in the complaint or at all, the same was directly and proximately contributed to by the negligence, recklessness, carelessness, fault and unlawful conduct of plaintiff, and damages of plaintiff, if any,

1

3

56

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14 15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

shall be reduced in proportion to the amount of negligence and/or fault attributable to plaintiff.

Any violations of law, if any occurred, resulted from a bona fide error despite the maintenance of procedures reasonably adopted to avoid any such error.

WHEREFORE, this answering defendant prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff take nothing by reason of the complaint;
- 2. This answering defendant be dismissed with prejudice;
- 3. For costs of suit incurred herein;
- 4. For reasonable attorney's fees incurred herein; and
- 5. For such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: June 6, 2008

LAW OFFICES OF TIMOTHY P. JOHNSON

By: /S/ Timothy P. Johnson
TIMOTHY P. JOHNSON
Attorneys for Defendant PINNACLE CREDIT
SERVICES, LLC

Tpj:cww/Williams/pleadings/002

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

C.C.P. §1013(a), C.R.C. 2003(3), 2005(I)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 17821 E. 17th Street, Suite 290, Tustin, California 92780.

On June 6, 2008, I served the foregoing document described as **ANSWER OF DEFENDANT PINNACLE CREDIT SERVICES**, **LLC TO COMPLAINT** on all interested parties in this action by:

- ✓ placing _ the original _✓ a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as stated on the attached mailing list.
- <u>✓</u> **BY MAIL:** I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U. S. Postal Service on the same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Tustin, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

BY PERSONAL SERVICE: I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the offices of the addressee.

BY FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION: From Fax No. (714) 832-1179 to the facsimile numbers listed on the attached mailing list. The facsimile machine I used complied with Rule 2003(3), and no error was reported by the machine.

____ BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I enclosed said document(s) in an envelope or package provided by the overnight service carrier and addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List. I placed the envelope or package for collection and overnight delivery at an office or regularly utilized drop box of the overnight service carrier or delivered such document(s) to a courier or driver authorized by the overnight service carrier to receive documents.

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court, at whose direction the service was made.

EXECUTED on June 6, 2008 at Tustin, California.

_/S/ Carol W. Wiese CAROL W. WIESE

27

28

pase 5.07-07-03350-010 Document 47 The doc/00/2000 Tage 12 of	(Cas	se 3:07-cv-05956-CRB	Document 47	Filed 06/06/2008	Page 12 of 12
---	------	----------------------	-------------	------------------	---------------

1 Williams v. Trans Union, et al. USDC, Case No. C-07-5956 CRB 2 3 **MAILING LIST** 4 5 R. Michael Williams, MS, MD, PhD Tomio B. Narita, Esq. 269 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 588 Jeffrey A. Topor, Esq. 6 Beverly Hills, California 90212 SIMMONDS & NARITA, LLP Plaintiff In Pro Per 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3010 7 San Francisco, CA 94104-4816 8 (415) 283-1000 FAX: (415) 352-2625 Email: tnarita@snllp.com; jtopor@snllp.com 9 Attorneys for Defendant ASSET ACCEPTANCE, INC. 10 OFFICES OF TIMOTHY P. JOHNSON 11 Donald E. Bradley, Esq. David S. Reidy MUSICK PEELER & GARRETT LLP **REED SMITH** 12 650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1200 Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 2000 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 San Francisco, CA 94111-3922 13 (714) 668-2400 FAX: (714) 668-2490 (415) 543-8700 FAX: (415) 391-8269 Email: d.bradley@mpglaw.com Email: dreidy@reedsmith.com 14 Attorneys for Defendant TRANS UNION LLC Attorneys for DFS SERVICES LLC 15 David L. Wallach, Esq. (Bar No. 233432) Thomas P. Quinn, Esq. 16 **JONES DAY NOKES & QUINN** 555 California Street 450 Ocean Avenue 17 San Francisco, CA 94105 Laguna Beach, CA 92651 (415) 626-3939 FAX: (415) 875-5700 (949) 365-3055 FAX: (949) 376-3070 18 Email: dwallach@jonesday.com Email: tquinn@nokesquinn.com 19 Attorneys for Defendant EXPERIAN Attorneys for EQUIFAX INFORMATION INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC. SERVICES, LLC 20 Cindy W. Andrew, Esq. (TX Bar # 00796128) 21 JONES DAY 2727 North Harwood Street 22 Dallas TX 75201 23 (214) 220-3939 FAX: (214) 969-5100 Email: candrew@jonesday.com 24 Attorneys for Defendant EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC. 25 26 27 28